

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Anthony L. Snipe, #99360-071,)
a/k/a Anthony Lamar Snipe,)
)
Petitioner,)
)
v.)
)
Warden Mildred L. Rivera,)
)
Respondent.)
_____)

Civil Action No. 8:11-2965-SB

ORDER

This matter is before the Court upon Anthony L. Snipe's pro se petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. Pursuant to Local Rule 73.02(B)(2)(a), this matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge for preliminary review.


On December 27, 2011, Magistrate Judge Jacquelyn D. Austin issued a report and recommendation ("R&R") analyzing the issues and recommending that the Court dismiss the instant petition without prejudice and without requiring the Respondent to file an answer. Attached to the R&R was a notice advising the Petitioner of his right to file specific, written objections to the R&R within 14 days of the date of service of the R&R. To date, no objections have been filed.

Absent timely objection from a dissatisfied party, a district court is not required to review, under a de novo or any other standard, a Magistrate Judge's factual or legal conclusions. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985); Wells v. Shriner's Hosp., 109 F.3d 198, 201 (4th Cir. 1997). Here, because the Petitioner did not file any specific, written objections, there are no portions of the R&R to which the Court must conduct a de novo review. Accordingly, the Court hereby adopts the Magistrate Judge's R&R as the Order

of this Court, and it is

ORDERED that the instant § 2241 petition is dismissed without prejudice and without requiring the Respondent to file an answer.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.



Sol Blatt, Jr.
Senior United States District Judge

January 17, 2012
Charleston, South Carolina

